

1 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
United States Attorney

2 BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 HELEN L. GILBERT (NYBN 4736336)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7021
8 FAX: (415) 436-7234
Helen.Gilbert@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO. CR 4:17-00399 JST
14)	
15 Plaintiff,)	
16 v.)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
17 ZACK TUTU MAPP,)	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
18 Defendant.)	
19)	

20 During the parties' appearance on August 25, 2017, the Court set September 29, 2017, as the
21 next date for a further status hearing. Counsel requested that time between August 25, 2017, and
22 September 29, 2017, be excluded from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for the
23 purpose of effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that
24 the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public
25 and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the August 25, 2017, hearing,
26 the Court made findings consistent with that agreement and excluded time.

27 //

28 //

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

2
3 BRIAN J. STRETCH
United States Attorney

4 DATED: August 29, 2017

5 _____/s/_____
6 HELEN L. GILBERT
Assistant United States Attorney

7 DATED: August 29, 2017

8 _____/s/_____
9 JEROME MATTHEWS
Attorney for Defendant, Zack Tutu Mapp

10
11
12
13 Attestation of Filer

14 In addition to myself, the other signatory to this document is Jerome Matthews. I attest that I
15 have his permission to enter a conformed signature on his behalf and to file the document.

16
17 DATED: August 29, 2017

18 _____/s/_____
HELEN L. GILBERT
Assistant United States Attorney

1 **~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER**

2 As stated at the August 25, 2017 hearing, for the reasons stated above and at the August 25, 2017
3 hearing, the Court finds that exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 the period
4 from August 25, 2017, through September 29, 2017, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by
5 the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.
6 § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the
7 defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of
8 due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11
12 DATED: September 5, 2017

